

REVIEW ARTICLE

Open Access

Adjunctive metformin for antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia: a meta-analysis of randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials

Wen-Long Jiang¹, Dong-Bin Cai², Fei Yin³, Ling Zhang⁴, Xi-Wu Zhao¹, Jie He⁵, Chee H. Ng⁶, Gabor S. Ungvari^{7,8}, Kang Sim⁹, Mei-Ling Hu¹⁰, Wei Zheng¹¹ and Yu-Tao Xiang¹²

Abstract

Antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia could increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases. This is a meta-analysis of randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trials to examine the efficacy and safety of adjunctive metformin for dyslipidemia induced by antipsychotics in schizophrenia. The standardized mean differences (SMDs) and risk ratios (RRs) with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using the random-effects model with the RevMan 5.3 version software. The primary outcome was the change of serum lipid level. Twelve studies with 1215 schizophrenia patients (592 in metformin group and 623 in placebo group) were included and analyzed. Adjunctive metformin was significantly superior to placebo with regards to low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) [SMD: -0.37 (95%CI: -0.69 , -0.05), $P = 0.02$; $I^2 = 78\%$], total cholesterol [SMD: -0.47 (95%CI: -0.66 , -0.29), $P < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 49\%$], triglyceride [SMD: -0.33 (95%CI: -0.45 , -0.20), $P < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 0\%$], and high density lipoprotein cholesterol [SMD: 0.29 (95%CI: 0.02 , 0.57), $P = 0.03$; $I^2 = 69\%$]. The superiority of metformin in improving LDL-C level disappeared in a sensitivity analysis and 80% (8/10) of subgroup analyses. Metformin was significantly superior to placebo with regards to decrease in body weight, body mass index, glycated hemoglobin A1c, fasting insulin, and homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance ($P = 0.002$ – 0.01), but not regarding changes in waist circumference, waist-to-hip rate, leptin, fasting glucose, and blood pressure ($P = 0.07$ – 0.33). The rates of discontinuation due to any reason [RR: 0.97 (95%CI: 0.66 , 1.43), $P = 0.89$; $I^2 = 0\%$] was similar between the two groups. Adjunctive metformin could be useful to improve total cholesterol and triglyceride levels, but it was not effective in improving LDL-C level in schizophrenia.

Introduction

Use of antipsychotics, particularly atypical antipsychotics, has been associated with a higher risk of metabolic and cardiovascular adverse effects^{1–3} and even premature death^{4,5}, which could be significantly attributed to dyslipidemia⁶, particularly elevated low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C)^{7–9}.

Dyslipidemia, such as increased level of total cholesterol, triglyceride, LDL-C and decreased level of high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), could occur in around two-thirds of patients with schizophrenia¹⁰. Life-style and dietary interventions has been found to be safe and effective in treating dyslipidemia^{11,12}. Several meta-analyses have found that topiramate¹², metformin¹³, and rosuvastatin¹⁴ were also effective and safe in improving dyslipidemia induced by antipsychotics in schizophrenia.

Metformin, a biguanide hypoglycemic agent, is widely prescribed for type 2 diabetes¹. Animal studies have found metformin is effective in treating weight gain induced by olanzapine¹⁵ and dyslipidemia induced by risperidone¹⁶. Case reports and open-label studies also found that

Correspondence: Wei Zheng (zhengwei0702@163.com) or Yu-Tao Xiang (xyutly@gmail.com)

¹The Third People's Hospital of Daqing, Daqing, China

²Shenzhen Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Shenzhen, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

There authors contributed equally: Wen-Long Jiang, Dong-Bin Cai, Fei Yin, Ling Zhang

© The Author(s) 2020



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

metformin could improve body weight¹⁷ and metabolic syndrome¹⁷. However, the findings of randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trials (RCTs)^{6,18–28} examining the effects of adjunctive metformin for dyslipidemia induced by antipsychotics have been mixed.

Some reviews and meta-analyses^{11,13,29–37} have examined the efficacy and safety of adjunctive metformin for antipsychotics-related weight gain and metabolic abnormalities in schizophrenia. However, the primary outcome in most meta-analyses was weight gain, rather than dyslipidemia, and some meta-analyses included open-label studies, which deviate from the standardized recommendations³⁸. In addition, the findings of the previous meta-analyses^{12,13,33,35,37} on adjunctive metformin for dyslipidemia, such as total cholesterol, HDL and LDL cholesterol, have been inconsistent. Thus, we conducted this meta-analysis of RCTs in English and Chinese literature to examine the efficacy and safety of adjunctive metformin for dyslipidemia induced by antipsychotics in schizophrenia.

Methods

Search strategy

Both international (PubMed, Cochrane Library, PsycINFO, and EMBASE) and Chinese (Chinese Journal Net, and WanFang) databases were independently searched to obtain relevant published RCTs by three investigators (WLJ, DBC, and FY) from their commencement dates to October 22, 2018, using the search terms as follows: (“metformin”[MeSH] OR metformin OR Dimethylbiguanidine OR Dimethylguanylguanidine OR Glucophage) AND (“dyslipidemias”[MeSH] OR Dyslipidemias OR Dyslipidemia OR Dyslipoproteinemias OR Dyslipoproteinemia OR metabolic OR lipid OR fats OR Hypolipidemic Agents OR cholesterol OR HDL OR LDL OR lipoprotein OR triglyceride OR adiponectin OR ghrelin OR leptin OR resistin OR chemerin OR omentin OR apelin or adipocytokine OR adipokine) AND (“schizophrenia”[Mesh] OR schizophrenic disorder OR disorder, schizophrenic OR schizophrenic disorders OR schizophrenia OR dementia praecox). The references of relevant reviews^{11,13,29–37} were also searched manually to avoid missing publications.

Inclusion criteria of the meta-analysis

The inclusion criteria of this meta-analysis were made according to PICOS acronym. Participants: patients with schizophrenia diagnosed according to international or local diagnostic criteria, such as the China’s mental disorder classification and diagnosis standard, 3rd edition, International Classification of Diseases, 10th edition or Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition. Intervention: adjunctive metformin with treatment as usual (TAU). Comparison: TAU plus

placebo. Outcomes: the primary outcome was LDL-C (mg/dL); the secondary outcomes were total cholesterol (mg/dL), triglyceride (mg/dL), HDL-C (mg/dL), body weight (kg), body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), waist circumference (cm), waist-to-hip rate, leptin (ug/L), glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c, %), fast insulin (mIU/L) and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), fasting glucose (mmol/L), blood pressure including diastolic and systolic blood pressure (mmHg), adverse drug reactions (ADRs), and discontinuation due to any reason. Study: following other meta-analyses^{39,40}, only published double-blind RCTs that examined the efficacy and safety of metformin for dyslipidemia with available data were included. Studies without data of blood lipid were excluded^{41–43}.

Data extraction

Three investigators (WLJ, DBC, and FY) independently extracted relevant data of eligible studies, including study characteristics (such as first author, publication year and sample size), basic demographic and clinical data (such as age, percentage of males, diagnostic criteria, illness duration, antipsychotic and its doses) and outcomes (efficacy, safety and tolerability of metformin). Discrepancies in literature search and data extraction were resolved through negotiation, or consultations with a senior investigator (WZ). One study¹⁹ with 2 active treatment arms compared two different doses of metformin with placebo. The data of these three groups (2 active treatment arms + 1 placebo group) were separately extracted and analyzed in this meta-analysis. In order to avoid inflating the total number of patients in the placebo group, we assigned half of those in the placebo group to each metformin arm.

Statistical analyses

The data analyses were performed by the RevMan, version 5.3 according to the recommendations of the Cochrane Collaboration⁴⁴. The random-effects model was used for all meta-analyzable outcomes⁴⁵. The standardized mean differences (SMDs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for continuous outcomes. The risk ratios (RRs) and their 95% CIs were calculated for categorical outcomes. Significant heterogeneity was defined by I^2 of >50% or P value of <0.1 in Q-test.

In case of $I^2 \geq 50\%$ for LDL-C level, a sensitivity analysis was conducted to detect the source of heterogeneity after removing 1 outlying study ($SMD \leq -0.87$)¹⁸. Furthermore, the following six subgroup analyses were conducted to identify the sources of heterogeneity of the primary outcome: (i) Chinese vs. non-Chinese studies; (ii) studies with olanzapine vs. studies that did not use olanzapine as the primary antipsychotic medication; (iii) trial duration (weeks): ≥ 16 vs. < 16 ; (iv) no sex predominance vs. male

predominance ($\geq 60\%$); (v) high quality (Jadad score ≥ 3) vs. low quality (Jadad score < 3); and (vi) age (years): ≥ 39.3 vs. < 39.3 (using the mean split of age). Sensitivity and subgroup analyses were not performed for total cholesterol and triglyceride levels, as the heterogeneity was small ($I^2 = 49\%$ and 0% , respectively). Publication bias was examined by visual funnel plots and Egger's test⁴⁶ using comprehensive meta-analysis program version 2. All meta-analytic primary and secondary outcomes were two tailed, with alpha set at 0.05.

Assessment of study quality

The quality of included RCTs was independently assessed by three investigators (WLJ, DBC, and FY) using Jadad scale^{47,48} and the Cochrane Risk of bias⁴⁹. The overall evidence levels of all meta-analytic outcomes were measured using the grading of recommendations, assessment, development, and evaluation (GRADE) system^{50,51}.

Results

Computer search

A total of 454 hits (Fig. 1) were identified from the databases ($n = 451$) and manual search ($n = 3$). Finally, 12 studies with 13 RCTs^{6,18–28} were included in this meta-analysis. Of them, one study contained two RCTs⁶.

Study characteristics

The 12 studies with 13 RCTs covered 1215 patients (592 in the metformin group (500–2550 mg/day) and 623 in the placebo group; Table 1). The mean age was 39.3 (range = 26.0–47.7) years and the mean illness duration in 11 studies with available data was 10.7 (range = 0.5–24.8) years. The mean trial duration was 15.5 (range = 8–24) weeks. Eight RCTs were conducted in China ($n = 826$), three in Venezuela ($n = 181$), and one each in Iran ($n = 60$) and the USA ($n = 148$).

Quality assessment of included study

Of the 13 RCTs, only 1 RCT²² was rated as high risk with regards to the random sequence generation and allocation concealment (Supplemental Fig. 1). Other bias was rated as unclear in all RCTs. The Jadad total scores ranged from 2 to 5; 11 RCTs were rated as high quality (Jadad score ≥ 3). The quality of evidence for primary and secondary outcomes using the GRADE approach ranged from “low” (8.4%, 2/24), to “moderate” (45.8%, 11/24), and “high” (45.8%, 11/24) (Supplemental Table 1).

Serum lipid

Adjunctive metformin was significantly superior to placebo with regard to LDL-C level [SMD: -0.37 (95%CI: $-0.69, -0.05$), $P = 0.02$; $I^2 = 78\%$], total cholesterol level [SMD: -0.47 (95%CI: $-0.66, -0.29$), $P < 0.00001$;




Table 1 Study characteristics.

Study (country)	Number of patients ^a	Blinding	Analyses	Trial duration (weeks)	Setting ^b (%)	Diagnosis (%) ^c	Diagnostic criteria ^b	Illness duration (yrs)	Mean age ^b , yrs (range)	Sex ^b , Male (%)	Control-Group: Dose (mg/d): mean (range)	Intervention-Group: Dose (mg/d): mean (range)	Jadad score
Bapista et al. ²⁸ (Venezuela)	T: 40 C: 20 t: 20	DB	OC	14	Inpatients (100)	SCZ (NR), SZA (NR)	NR	30.7 ^c	47.7 (NR)	59.5	OLA: Ø = 10 (FD)	OLA: Ø = NR MET: Ø = NR (850–1700)	4
Bapista et al. ²⁷ (Venezuela)	T: 80 C: 40 t: 40	DB	OC	12	In (75)-outpatients (25)	SCZ (95), BD (5)	DSM-IV	NR	44.1 (>18)	58.3	OLA: Ø = 10.3 (5–20)	MET: Ø = NR (850–2550)	4
Carizzo et al. ²⁶ (Venezuela)	T: 61 C: 30 t: 31	DB	OC	14	Outpatients (100)	SCZ (94), SzD (2), BD-I (4)	DSM-IV	7.3 ^c	38.9 (>18)	79.6	CLZ: Ø = 207.3 (NR)	MET: Ø = NR (500–1000)	4
Chen et al. ²⁵ (China)	T: 55 C: 27 t: 28	DB	ITT	24	In (18)-outpatients (82)	SCZ (NR), SZA (NR)	DSM-IV	21 ^d	41.6 (20–65)	50.9	CLZ: Ø = 282.4 (NR)	MET: Ø = NR (1000–1500)	3
Chiu et al. ¹⁹ (China)	T: 55 C: 18 t: 37	DB	ITT	12	NR	SCZ (NR), SZA (NR)	DSM-IV	24.8	45.6 (20–65)	43.6	CLZ: Ø = 261.5 (NR)	1.MET: Ø = 500 (NR) 2.MET: Ø = 284.8 (NR)	5
Han et al. ¹⁸ (China)	T: 120 C: 60 t: 60	DB	ITT	16	NR	SCZ (100)	DSM-IV-TR	4.5	43.7 (24–61)	54.2	OLA: Ø = NR (NR)	OLA: Ø = 263.5 (NR) MET: Ø = 1500 (NR)	3
Hebrani et al. ²¹ (Iran)	T: 60 C: 30 t: 30	DB	OC	20	Inpatients (100)	SCZ (100)	DSM-IV-TR	20.2	46.5 (18–75)	45.9	CLZ: Ø = 145.6 (NR)	MET: Ø = NR (500–1000)	4
Jarskog et al. ²⁴ (USA)	T: 148 C: 73 t: 75	DB	ITT	16	Outpatients (100)	SCZ (NR), SZA (NR)	DSM-IV	≥ 1 year	43.2 (18–65)	69.2	APs ^e : Ø = NR (NR)	MET: Ø = NR (1000–2000)	4
Rao et al. ²⁰ (China)	T: 145 C: 72 t: 73	DB	ITT	8	Inpatients (100)	SCZ (100)	ICD-10	5.5	36.2 (16–54)	53.1	RIS: Ø = NR (1–6)	MET: Ø = 1500 (FD)	3
Wu et al. ⁶ 2016-Study 1 (China)	T: 162 C: 84 t: 78	DB	OC	24	Outpatients (100)	SCZ (100)	DSM-IV	0.7 ^f	26.1 (18–40)	50.0	APs ^e : Ø = NR (NR)	MET: Ø = NR (500–1000)	2
Wu et al. ⁶ 2016-Study 2 (China)	T: 39 C: 14 t: 25	DB	OC	24	Outpatients (100)	SCZ (100)	DSM-IV	0.8 ^f	25.5 (18–40)	0	APs ^e : Ø = NR (NR)	MET: Ø = NR (500–1000)	5
Zhang ²³ (China)	T: 80 C: 40 t: 40	DB	ITT	10	Inpatients (100)	SCZ (100)	CCMD-3	1.7	29.8 (17–47)	51.3	OLA: Ø = NR (10–20)	MET: Ø = 1000 (FD)	4
Zhou et al. ²² (China)	T: 170 C: 84 t: 86	DB	ITT	20	Inpatients (100)	SCZ (100)	ICD-10	0.5	28.0 (18–46)	55.3	RIS: Ø = NR (4–5)	MET: Ø = 750 (NR)	2

Ø = mean.

APs antipsychotics, BD bipolar disorder, BD-I type I bipolar disorder, C control, CCMD-3 China's Mental Disorder Classification and Diagnosis Standard 3th edition, CLZ clozapine, DB double-blind, DSM-IV Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition, DSM-IV-TR Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition, Text Revision, FD fixed dosage, ICD-10 the 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, ITT intent to treat, MET metformin, NR not report, OC observed cases, OLA olanzapine, RCT randomized control trial, RIS risperidone, SCZ schizophrenia, SZA schizoaffective disorders, SzD schizophreniform disorder, T total, yrs years.

^aNumber of patients were based on randomly assignment.

^bAvailable data were extracted based on mean baseline value of each included trials.

^cIllness duration of treatment with antipsychotics.

^dAge at onset of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder.

^eDid not report the detailed use of APs.

^fDefined from the first symptom to the time of participation in the study.

$I^2 = 49\%$], triglyceride level [SMD: -0.33 (95%CI: -0.45 , -0.20), $P < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 0\%$], and HDL-C level [SMD: 0.29 (95%CI: 0.02 , 0.57), $P = 0.03$; $I^2 = 69\%$] (Fig. 2).

The significance with regard to LDL-C level disappeared [SMD: -0.28 (95%CI: -0.61 , 0.05), $P = 0.10$; $I^2 = 75\%$] after 1 outlying (SMD ≤ -0.87) study¹⁸ was removed. Similarly, the significance disappeared in 8 of the 10 subgroup analyses (Table 2).

Anthropometric variables

Metformin was significantly superior to placebo with regards to decrease in body weight [SMD: -0.35 (95%CI: -0.59 , -0.11), $P = 0.005$; $I^2 = 69\%$], BMI [SMD: -0.39 (95%CI: -0.66 , -0.12), $P = 0.005$; $I^2 = 76\%$], but not regarding waist circumference [SMD: -0.15 (95%CI: -0.45 , 0.15), $P = 0.33$; $I^2 = 71\%$], and waist-to-hip rate [SMD: -0.46 (95%CI: -1.19 , 0.27), $P = 0.22$; $I^2 = 91\%$], leptin [SMD: -1.09 (95%CI: -2.26 , 0.07), $P = 0.07$; $I^2 = 94\%$] (Table 3).

Carbohydrate metabolism

Metformin was significantly superior to placebo with regards to HbA1c [SMD: -0.32 (95%CI: -0.52 , -0.12), $P = 0.002$; $I^2 = 0\%$], fasting insulin [SMD: -0.73 (95%CI: -1.22 , -0.24), $P = 0.003$; $I^2 = 87\%$] and HOMA-IR [SMD: -0.89 (95%CI: -1.57 , -0.21), $P = 0.01$; $I^2 = 92\%$], but not regarding fasting glucose [SMD: -0.31 (95%CI: -0.67 , 0.04), $P = 0.09$; $I^2 = 88\%$] (Table 3).

Blood pressure

No significant differences were found regarding diastolic [SMD: -0.11 (95%CI: -0.31 , 0.10), $P = 0.30$; $I^2 = 0\%$] and systolic blood pressure [SMD: -0.15 (95%CI: -0.35 , 0.06), $P = 0.16$; $I^2 = 0\%$] between two groups (Table 3).

Adverse drug reactions and discontinuation rate

Compared with placebo, adjunctive metformin was significantly associated with more frequent nausea/vomiting ($P = 0.02$, 95%CI = 11–50). No significant group differences were found in other ADRs ($P = 0.14$ – 0.98) and discontinuation due to any reason [RR: 0.97 (95%CI: 0.66 , 1.43), $P = 0.89$; $I^2 = 0\%$] (Table 3).

Publication bias

The funnel plots of included studies were symmetrical and Egger's test did not detect publication bias for triglyceride level ($P = 0.50$), body weight ($P = 0.50$), and fasting glucose ($P = 0.97$). Publication bias of other meta-analytic outcomes could not be examined due to small numbers ($n < 10$) of RCTs⁵².

Discussion

This meta-analysis found that adjunctive metformin was effective, safe, and generally well-tolerated in treating

total cholesterol and triglyceride levels with “high” evidence level assessed by the GRADE approach within schizophrenia patients for antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia, which is consistent with the findings in studies involving several other adjunctive medications, such as rosuvastatin (total cholesterol level vs. triglyceride level: SMD = -2.00 vs. -1.05)¹⁴. However, the significant superiority of metformin over placebo in the improvement of LDL-C level was driven by an outlying study¹⁸. Similarly, metformin was not effective in improving LDL-C level in 8 of the 10 subgroup analyses. This meta-analysis found the significant superiority of adjunctive metformin over placebo regarding LDL-C, total cholesterol, triglyceride and HDL-C level with small effect sizes⁵³. Convincing evidence showed that findings with small effect size could be also reliable in clinical practice. For instance, a recent network meta-analysis that compared the efficacy and acceptability of 21 antidepressant drugs for major depressive disorder found that all antidepressants were more efficacious than placebo in improving depressive symptoms, but only small effect sizes were achieved⁵⁴.

The mechanism of metformin underlying the treatment of antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia is still not clear. One possible explanation may be related to the role of metformin in improving insulin resistance^{6,19,33,35} which is a risk factor of dyslipidemia⁵⁵ and could decrease lipoprotein synthesis⁵⁶. In addition, the therapeutic effects of metformin in treating antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia may be associated with its role in the neuronal reduction of endogenous glucose production, increasing glucagon-like peptide-1 production, decreasing bile acid concentration in enterocytes, and modulating the gut microbiota⁵⁶.

In this meta-analysis, adjunctive metformin showed significant benefits for reducing body weight and BMI, which is consistent with the findings of other meta-analyses^{13,57}. For example, a recent meta-analysis found that a combination of metformin and lifestyle modification could significantly reduce body weight and BMI compared with placebo, lifestyle group or metformin alone¹¹. Adjunctive metformin for antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia were generally safe and well-tolerated. In this meta-analysis, seven studies reported more frequent nausea/vomiting in adjunctive metformin compared with placebo. A meta-analysis of use of adjunctive metformin for antipsychotic-induced weight gain also found that metformin could lead to more frequent reports of nausea/vomiting than placebo¹³.

Some studies found that long-term use of metformin was associated with decreased vitamin B₁₂ levels, and even biochemical B₁₂ deficiency^{2,58}. Thus, blood lactate concentration, serum B₁₂ levels and folate levels should be regularly screened for patients with schizophrenia when they received metformin treatment².




Fig. 2 Adjunctive metformin for antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia: forest plot for serum lipid. Adjunctive metformin was significantly superior to placebo with regard to LDL-C level, total cholesterol level, triglyceride level, and HDL-C level. HDL-C high density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C low density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Table 2 Subgroup analyses of metformin for LDL-C level.

Variables	Active arms (subjects)	SMDs (95%CI)	I ² (%)	P
1. Chinese	3 (480)	−0.57 (−1.13, −0.00)	89	0.05
Non-Chinese	4 (292)	−0.16 (−0.39, 0.07)	0	0.16
2. Antipsychotic class: olanzapine	3 (229)	−0.41 (−1.06, 0.23)	81	0.21
Other than olanzapine	4 (543)	−0.33 (−0.75, 0.08)	81	0.11
3. Trial duration (weeks): ≥16	5 (663)	−0.44 (−0.82, −0.06)	82	0.02
<16	2 (109)	−0.13 (−0.70, 0.44)	51	0.66
4. Jadad score ^a ≥ 3	6 (602)	−0.44 (−0.78, −0.10)	74	0.01
Jadad score <3	1 (170)	−0.01 (−0.31, 0.29)	N/A	0.93
5. Age (years) ^a : ≥39.3	5 (412)	−0.34 (−0.70, 0.02)	67	0.07
<39.3	2 (360)	−0.42 (−1.23, 0.38)	93	0.30

Bold *P* values: *P* < 0.05.

CI confidence interval, N/A not applicable, LDL-C low density lipoprotein cholesterol, SMDs standard mean differences.

^aAnalyzed using a mean splitting method.

Several meta-analyses^{11,13,29–37} had examined antipsychotic-induced body weight and metabolic abnormalities in schizophrenia, however, only a small number of them with comparatively smaller sample size meta-analyzed dyslipidemia as a secondary outcome^{33,35,37}. This meta-analysis only included double-blind RCTs examining adjunctive metformin for dyslipidemia in schizophrenia, together with a large sample size increase the robustness and reliability of meta-analytic findings.

Several limitations should be noted. First, the significant heterogeneity for primary outcome remained, even in some subgroup analyses. For this reason, we tried to compensate for study heterogeneity using the random-effects model and conducting subgroup analyses. Second, although unpublished studies were not searched, no publication bias was found for triglyceride level, body weight, and fasting glucose in this meta-analysis. Third, the dose-response effect of metformin as an adjunctive treatment for dyslipidemia was not examined since the doses of metformin varied across included RCTs (500–2550 mg/day). Fourth, the significant superiority of metformin over placebo in improving LDL-C level was found in RCTs lasting more than 16 weeks, but not in those less than 16 weeks. Furthermore, the long-term effect (beyond 24 weeks) of adjunctive metformin for antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia was not examined.

In conclusion, adjunctive metformin could improve total cholesterol and triglyceride levels but its therapeutic effects on LDL-C level was less certain in this meta-

Table 3 Adjunctive metformin for antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia: secondary outcomes.

Variables	Active arms (subjects)	SMDs/RRs (95%CI)	I ² (%)	P
Clinical features				
Body weight (kg)	11 (1004)	−0.35 (−0.59, −0.11)	69	0.005
BMI (kg/m ²)	12 (1016)	−0.39 (−0.66, −0.12)	76	0.005
Waist circumference (cm)	9 (681)	−0.15 (−0.45, 0.15)	71	0.33
WHR	3 (346)	−0.46 (−1.19, 0.27)	91	0.22
Leptin (ug/L)	3 (238)	−1.09 (−2.26, 0.07)	94	0.07
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	13 (1161)	−0.31 (−0.67, 0.04)	88	0.09
HbA1c (%)	4 (384)	−0.32 (−0.52, −0.12)	0	0.002
Fast insulin (mIU/L)	5 (615)	−0.73 (−1.22, −0.24)	87	0.003
HOMA-IR	6 (501)	−0.89 (−1.57, −0.21)	92	0.01
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	5 (371)	−0.11 (−0.31, 0.10)	0	0.30
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	5 (371)	−0.15 (−0.35, 0.06)	0	0.16
Discontinuation rate				
Discontinuation due to any reason	9 (806)	0.97 (0.66, 1.43)	0	0.89
ADRs				
Nausea/vomiting	7 (765)	1.51 (1.05, 2.16)	0	0.02
Dizziness	2 (315)	1.99 (0.56, 7.06)	0	0.29
Dry mouth	4 (596)	1.76 (0.82, 3.76)	0	0.14
Hypersomnia	2 (371)	0.45 (0.02, 11.25)	77	0.63
Tachycardia	2 (315)	0.86 (0.26, 2.83)	16	0.80
Headache	2 (316)	1.02 (0.17, 6.05)	44	0.98
Constipation	3 (395)	1.23 (0.52, 2.88)	10	0.64
Diarrhea	5 (394)	1.43 (0.86, 2.41)	19	0.17

Bold *P* values: *P* < 0.05.

ADRs adverse drug reactions, BMI body mass index, CI confidence interval, HbA1c glycated hemoglobin A1c, HOMA-IR homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance, RRs risk ratios, SMDs standardized mean differences, WHR waist-to-hip ratio.

analysis. The prolonged effect of active agents such as metformin to treat dyslipidemia induced by antipsychotics in schizophrenia needs to be further examined.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the National Key Research and Development Plan "Precision Medical Research" 2016 Project (2016YFC0906302) and Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (201807010064).

Author details

¹The Third People's Hospital of Daqing, Daqing, China. ²Shenzhen Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Shenzhen, China. ³Harbin Medical University Daqing Campus, Daqing, China. ⁴The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China. ⁵The National Clinical Research Center for Mental Disorders & Beijing Key Laboratory of Mental Disorders Beijing Anding Hospital & the Advanced Innovation Center for Human Brain Protection, Capital Medical University, School of Mental Health, Beijing, China. ⁶Department of Psychiatry, The Melbourne Clinic and St Vincent's Hospital, University of Melbourne, Richmond, Victoria, Australia. ⁷University of Notre Dame Australia, Fremantle, Australia. ⁸Division of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia. ⁹West Region, Institute of Mental Health, Buangkok Green Medical Park, Singapore, Singapore. ¹⁰The Fifth People's Hospital of Shangrao, Shangrao, China. ¹¹The Affiliated Brain Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University (Guangzhou Huiai Hospital),

Guangzhou, China. ¹²Unit of Psychiatry, Institute of Translational Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Macau, Macao, SAR, China

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-0785-y>).

Received: 6 August 2019 Revised: 24 February 2020 Accepted: 20 March 2020

Published online: 23 April 2020

References

- Lazarus, B. et al. Association of metformin use with risk of lactic acidosis across the range of kidney function: a community-based cohort study. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **178**, 903–910 (2018).
- Andrade, C. Use of metformin for cardiometabolic risks in psychiatric practice: need-to-know safety issues. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **77**, e1491–e1494 (2016).
- Meyer, J. M. & Stahl, S. M. The metabolic syndrome and schizophrenia. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* **119**, 4–14 (2009).
- Boules, M., Fredrickson, P. & Richelson, E. Neurotensin agonists as an alternative to antipsychotics. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs* **14**, 359–369 (2005).
- Reininghaus, U. et al. Mortality in schizophrenia and other psychoses: a 10-year follow-up of the SOP first-episode cohort. *Schizophr. Bull.* **41**, 664–673 (2015).
- Wu, R. R. et al. Metformin treatment of antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia: an analysis of two randomized, placebo-controlled trials. *Mol. Psychiatry* **21**, 1537–1544 (2016).
- De Hert, M. et al. Treatment with rosuvastatin for severe dyslipidemia in patients with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **67**, 1889–1896 (2006).
- Hanssens, L. et al. Pharmacological treatment of severe dyslipidaemia in patients with schizophrenia. *Int. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* **22**, 43–49 (2007).
- Graham, I., Cooney, M. T., Bradley, D., Dudina, A. & Reiner, Z. Dyslipidemias in the prevention of cardiovascular disease: risks and causality. *Curr. Cardiol. Rep.* **14**, 709–720 (2012).
- Shafie, S. et al. Prevalence and correlates of diabetes mellitus and dyslipidaemia in a long-stay inpatient schizophrenia population in Singapore. *Singap. Med. J.* **59**, 465–471 (2018).
- Zheng, W. et al. Combination of metformin and lifestyle intervention for antipsychotic-related weight gain: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Pharmacopsychiatry* **52**, 24–31 (2019).
- Vancampfort, D. et al. The impact of pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions to improve physical health outcomes in people with schizophrenia: a meta-review of meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. *World Psychiatry* **18**, 53–66 (2019).
- Zheng, W. et al. Metformin for weight gain and metabolic abnormalities associated with antipsychotic treatment: meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials. *J. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* **35**, 499–509 (2015).
- Zheng, W. et al. Meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of adjunctive rosuvastatin for dyslipidemia in patients with schizophrenia. *Shanghai Arch. Psychiatry* **30**, 4–11 (2018).
- Hu, Y. et al. Metformin and berberine prevent olanzapine-induced weight gain in rats. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e93310 (2014).
- Adeneye, A. A., Agbaje, E. O. & Olagunju, J. A. Metformin: an effective attenuator of risperidone-induced insulin resistance hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia in rats. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* **49**, 332–338 (2011).
- Vishnupriya, R., Ezhilramya, J. & Meenakshi, B. Metformin in the prevention of metabolic syndrome associated with initiation of atypical antipsychotic therapy in adolescents and young adults—a randomized, open labelled, single centered study. *Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci.* **8**, 200–206 (2016).
- Han, X. L., Jia, J. M., Huang, T. X., Zhang, Z. & Wang, F. H. A comparative study of metformin to improve glucose and lipid metabolism in schizophrenic patients with metabolic syndrome (In Chinese). *J. Psychiatry* **29**, 443–447 (2016).
- Chiu, C. C. et al. Effects of low dose metformin on metabolic traits in clozapine-treated schizophrenia patients: an exploratory twelve-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0168347 (2016).
- Rao, S. X. et al. Efficacy analysis of metformin combined with risperidone in the treatment of schizophrenia with metabolic syndrome (In Chinese). *Neural Inj. Funct. Reconstr.* **10**, 358–359 (2015).
- Hebrani, P. et al. Double-blind, randomized, clinical trial of metformin as add-on treatment with clozapine in treatment of schizophrenia disorder. *J. Res. Med. Sci.* **20**, 364–371 (2015).
- Zhou, J. Q., Dong, Z. W., Zhou, X., Li, X. Z. & Cun, J. Z. Metformin treatment of risperidone starting schizophrenia patients with the influence of bai metabolic control study: (In Chinese). *Chin. J. Drug Abuse. Prev. Treat.* **20**, 144–147 (2014).
- Zhang, Y. C. The control study of metformin for olanzapine-induced metabolic dysfunction: (In Chinese). *Med. J. Chin. People's Health* **25**, 69–70 (2013).
- Jarskog, L. F. et al. Metformin for weight loss and metabolic control in overweight outpatients with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **170**, 1032–1040 (2013).
- Chen, C.-H. et al. Effects of adjunctive metformin on metabolic traits in non-diabetic clozapine-treated patients with schizophrenia and the effect of metformin discontinuation on body weight: a 24-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **74**, e424–e430 (2013).
- Carrizo, E. et al. Extended release metformin for metabolic control assistance during prolonged clozapine administration: a 14 week, double-blind, parallel group, placebo-controlled study. *Schizophr. Res.* **113**, 19–26 (2009).
- Baptista, T. et al. Metformin as an adjunctive treatment to control body weight and metabolic dysfunction during olanzapine administration: a multicentric, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Schizophr. Res.* **93**, 99–108 (2007).
- Baptista, T. et al. Metformin for prevention of weight gain and insulin resistance with olanzapine: a double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Can. J. Psychiatry* **51**, 192–196 (2006).
- Choi, Y. J. Efficacy of adjunctive treatments added to olanzapine or clozapine for weight control in patients with schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *ScientificWorldJournal* **2015**, 970730 (2015).
- Domecq, J. P. et al. Clinical review: drugs commonly associated with weight change: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **100**, 363–370 (2015).
- Fiedorowicz, J. G. et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of pharmacological interventions for weight gain from antipsychotics and mood stabilizers. *Curr. Psychiatry Rev.* **8**, 25–36 (2012).
- Ellinger, L. K., Ipema, H. J. & Stachnik, J. M. Efficacy of metformin and topiramate in prevention and treatment of second-generation antipsychotic-induced weight gain. *Ann. Pharmacother.* **44**, 668–679 (2010).
- Mizuno, Y. et al. Pharmacological strategies to counteract antipsychotic-induced weight gain and metabolic adverse effects in schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Bull.* **40**, 1385–1403 (2014).
- Silva, V. A. D. et al. Metformin in prevention and treatment of antipsychotic induced weight gain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry* **16**, 341 (2016).
- Siskind, D. J., Leung, J., Russell, A. W., Wysoczanski, D. & Kisely, S. Metformin for clozapine associated obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0156208 (2016).
- Zhuo, C. et al. Topiramate and metformin are effective add-on treatments in controlling antipsychotic-induced weight gain: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* **9**, 1393 (2018).
- Zimbron, J., Khandaker, G. M., Toschi, C., Jones, P. B. & Fernandez-Egea, E. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials of treatments for clozapine-induced obesity and metabolic syndrome. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **26**, 1353–1365 (2016).
- Leucht, S. et al. A meta-analysis of head-to-head comparisons of second-generation antipsychotics in the treatment of schizophrenia. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **166**, 152–163 (2009).
- Carbon, M., Kane, J. M., Leucht, S. & Correll, C. U. Tardive dyskinesia risk with first- and second-generation antipsychotics in comparative randomized controlled trials: a meta-analysis. *World Psychiatry* **17**, 330–340 (2018).
- Galling, B. et al. Efficacy and safety of antidepressant augmentation of continued antipsychotic treatment in patients with schizophrenia. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* **137**, 187–205 (2018).

41. Baptista, T. et al. Metformin plus sibutramine for olanzapine-associated weight gain and metabolic dysfunction in schizophrenia: a 12-week double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study. *Psychiatry Res.* **159**, 250–253 (2008).
42. Wang et al. Metformin for treatment of antipsychotic-induced weight gain: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Schizophr. Res.* **138**, 54–57 (2012).
43. Zhu, Y., Wang, Y. & Wang, B. Efficacy of behavioral intervention therapy and metformin in the treatment of atypical antipsychotics-induced metabolic syndrome (In Chinese). *J. Psychiatry* **22**, 195–197 (2009).
44. Higgins, J. & Higgins, J. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. (Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2008).
45. DerSimonian, R. & Laird, N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control. Clin. Trials* **7**, 177–188 (1986).
46. Egger, M., Davey Smith, G., Schneider, M. & Minder, C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* **315**, 629–634 (1997).
47. Manna, V. On the essential role of omega-3 fatty acids in psychiatry. *Ital. J. Psychopathol.* **13**, 222–242 (2007).
48. Jadad, A. R. et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Control. Clin. Trials* **17**, 1–12 (1996).
49. Higgins, J. P. T., Green, S. & Cochrane Collaboration. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions* (5.1.0 version). (Chichester, UK: The Cochrane Collaboration & John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2011).
50. Balshem, H. et al. GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* **64**, 401–406 (2011).
51. Atkins, D. et al. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* **328**, 1490 (2004).
52. Sterne, J. A. et al. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* **343**, d4002 (2011).
53. Cohen, J. (ed) *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* 2nd edn. (Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1988).
54. Cipriani, A. et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of 21 anti-depressant drugs for the acute treatment of adults with major depressive disorder: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet* **391**, 1357–1366 (2018).
55. Erol, M., Bostan Gayret, O., Hamilcikan, S., Can, E. & Yigit, O. L. Vitamin D deficiency and insulin resistance as risk factors for dyslipidemia in obese children. *Arch. Argent. Pediatr.* **115**, 133–139 (2017).
56. van Stee, M. F., de Graaf, A. A. & Groen, A. K. Actions of metformin and statins on lipid and glucose metabolism and possible benefit of combination therapy. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* **17**, 94 (2018).
57. Maayan, L., Vakhrusheva, J. & Correll, C. U. Effectiveness of medications used to attenuate antipsychotic-related weight gain and metabolic abnormalities: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **35**, 1520–1530 (2010).
58. Alharbi, T. J. et al. The association of metformin use with vitamin B12 deficiency and peripheral neuropathy in Saudi individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *PLoS ONE* **13**, e0204420 (2018).



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Jiang, W-L;Cai, D-B;Yin, F;Zhang, L;Zhao, X-W;He, J;Ng, CH;Ungvari, GS;Sim, K;Hu, M-L;Zheng, W;Xiang, Y-T

Title:

Adjunctive metformin for antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia: a meta-analysis of randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials

Date:

2020-04-23

Citation:

Jiang, W. -L., Cai, D. -B., Yin, F., Zhang, L., Zhao, X. -W., He, J., Ng, C. H., Ungvari, G. S., Sim, K., Hu, M. -L., Zheng, W. & Xiang, Y. -T. (2020). Adjunctive metformin for antipsychotic-induced dyslipidemia: a meta-analysis of randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. TRANSLATIONAL PSYCHIATRY, 10 (1), <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-0785-y>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/244396>

License:

[CC BY](#)